

The Z-Machine Nexus: An Analysis of the LANL-Sandia HEDP/MIF Collaborative Network (2010-2016)

Section 1: Executive Summary & Key Judgments

1.1. Overview

This report maps the multi-institutional network of scientists, engineers, and program managers who collaborated on High Energy Density Physics (HEDP) and Magnetized Inertial Fusion (MIF) research between Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) and Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) from 2010 to 2016. The analysis reveals a community whose work is foundational to the U.S. Stockpile Stewardship Program (SSP), centered on Sandia's Z Pulsed-Power Facility. This network, comprising the core of the nation's unclassified HEDP and MIF research, represents a tightly managed, mission-oriented enterprise designed to generate the experimental data necessary to validate the advanced simulation codes that underpin the certification of the U.S. nuclear arsenal.

1.2. Key Judgments (Direct Answers to CIQs)

- **CIQ-1 (Working Groups):** (HIGH CONFIDENCE) No evidence of an NNSA working group formally named "Advanced Diagnostics for Extreme Conditions (ADEC)" was found in the provided data. However, extensive evidence points to the **National Diagnostic Working Group (NDWG)** as the primary formal body for coordinating diagnostic development for NNSA's HED facilities, including Sandia's Z-Machine.¹ Its membership comprises a "who's who" of the national HEDP community from LANL, SNL, Lawrence Livermore National

Laboratory (LLNL), the University of Rochester's Laboratory for Laser Energetics (LLE), General Atomics, and other key institutions.²

- **CIQ-2 (MagLIF Personnel):** (HIGH CONFIDENCE) Beyond the known leadership of Nakhleh, Mehlhorn, Batha, and Sinars, the core Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion (MagLIF) collaborative network was dominated by a cadre of Sandia experts. Key figures include **Dr. Stephen A. Slutz** (the concept's inventor), **Dr. Kyle J. Peterson** (lead experimentalist), **Dr. Ryan D. McBride** (liner stability expert), **Dr. Matthew R. Gomez** (lead experimentalist), and **Dr. Adam B. Sefkow** (target design/simulation).⁴ The network extended to include crucial industrial partners from **General Atomics** (e.g., Diana Schroen) for target fabrication and simulation experts from **LLNL**.⁴
- **CIQ-3 (Inter-Program Links):** (HIGH CONFIDENCE) There were no formal, jointly-funded working groups or publications directly linking LANL's Field-Reversed Configuration/Magnetized Target Fusion (FRC/MTF) programs with Sandia's MagLIF program.⁴ The relationship was one of **strategic parallelism**, representing a deliberate DOE/NNSA portfolio strategy to mitigate risk by pursuing two distinct MIF pathways simultaneously: a "driver-centric" approach at Sandia (MagLIF) and a "target-centric" approach at LANL (FRC/MTF).⁴ Informal collaboration occurred through shared attendance at specialized conferences (e.g., American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics Meeting, IEEE Pulsed Power Conference) and the use of similar simulation codes.⁴
- **CIQ-4 (Personnel Transitions):** (HIGH CONFIDENCE) The transition of **Dr. Charles W. Nakhleh** from LANL to lead Sandia's Inertial Confinement Fusion (ICF) Target Design Department (c. 2007-2013) and his subsequent return to lead LANL's X-Theoretical Design Division represents the most significant, and likely sole, high-level personnel transfer between the two core groups during this timeframe.⁴ This was not a routine career move but a deliberate, strategic investment by the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) to cultivate a leader with integrated theoretical design and experimental validation expertise, essential for the SSP.⁴

Section 2: The MagLIF Nexus: Mapping the Core Sandia-LANL Collaborative Cadre

The effort to develop and experimentally validate the MagLIF concept on the Z-Machine was not the work of a single laboratory but a highly integrated, multi-institutional enterprise. The collaborative network was built around a core of programmatic leadership distributed between Sandia and LANL, a deep bench of experimental and theoretical talent resident within Sandia's Pulsed Power Directorate, and essential contributions from external partners

at other national laboratories and in industry.

2.1. Programmatic Leadership and Architecture

Analysis of the key publications and programmatic roles reveals a clear leadership structure for the MagLIF effort, with distinct but highly complementary responsibilities assigned to the four principal figures identified in the initial query.

- **Dr. Thomas Mehlhorn (Sandia):** As a senior executive and Fellow within Sandia's Pulsed Power directorate, Dr. Mehlhorn's role was one of high-level programmatic oversight and strategic direction for HEDP research at the laboratory.⁹ His extensive career in pulsed power, ICF, and plasma simulation positioned him to guide the overall HEDP portfolio, ensuring that efforts like MagLIF were aligned with the broader NNSA and Stockpile Stewardship missions.⁹ His name on overview publications signifies top-level endorsement and management of the program.¹¹
- **Dr. Daniel B. Sinars (Sandia):** A central figure in the execution of MagLIF experiments, Dr. Sinars served as the primary experimental and diagnostic lead.⁴ His expertise was foundational to the program's ability to quantitatively measure the growth of hydrodynamic instabilities, most notably the Magneto-Rayleigh-Taylor (MRT) instability, which is a primary failure mode in magnetically-driven implosions.⁴ Dr. Sinars is credited with pioneering novel X-ray radiography techniques that allowed for high-resolution imaging of liner surfaces as they imploded under the extreme currents of the Z-Machine.¹³ This capability was not merely an ancillary measurement; it provided the essential "ground truth" data against which the complex radiation-magnetohydrodynamic simulation codes, used by the target design teams, were benchmarked and validated.⁴ The close collaboration between Sinars, the experimental and diagnostic lead, and Dr. Nakhleh, the theoretical design lead, formed the functional core of the MagLIF experimental effort.⁴
- **Dr. Charles W. Nakhleh (LANL/Sandia):** During his tenure at Sandia from approximately 2007 to 2013, Dr. Nakhleh was the leader of the ICF Target Design Department.⁴ This role made him the chief architect of the theoretical and computational designs for MagLIF experiments. His team was responsible for the integrated magnetohydrodynamic simulations that modeled the entire MagLIF process, from laser pre-heating of the fuel to the final compression and stagnation.¹⁵ These simulations provided the blueprints that the experimentalists executed on the Z-Machine, defining the target parameters, current pulse shapes, and diagnostic expectations for the first neutron-producing MagLIF experiments.⁴
- **Dr. Stephen Batha (LANL):** As a senior physicist in LANL's Plasma Physics group, Dr. Batha's role was to provide key physics insights and serve as a primary liaison between

LANL's broader HEDP/ICF programs and the specific experiments at Sandia.¹⁷ His involvement, often through leadership roles within the National Diagnostic Working Group, highlights the deep integration of LANL expertise even in Sandia-led efforts.¹⁹ He ensured that the diagnostic capabilities being developed and fielded were sufficient to answer the critical physics questions relevant to the SSP mission for which LANL held stewardship responsibility.²¹

2.2. The Sandia Pulsed Power Directorate Network: The Experimental Hub

The day-to-day scientific and engineering work of the MagLIF program was carried out by a core team of physicists and engineers within Sandia's Pulsed Power Directorate. Co-authorship analysis of the foundational MagLIF publications from 2010-2016 reveals a consistent cadre of key contributors.

- **Dr. Stephen A. Slutz:** A Distinguished Member of Technical Staff at Sandia, Dr. Slutz is widely credited as the original inventor of the MagLIF concept.⁴ His foundational 2010 *Physics of Plasmas* paper laid out the theoretical basis for the approach, arguing that pre-magnetizing and pre-heating a fuel within a metal liner could significantly relax the implosion velocity requirements for achieving fusion conditions.⁵ His co-authorship on subsequent experimental papers signifies his direct and continuous involvement in translating his theoretical vision into physical reality on the Z-Machine.⁴
- **Dr. Kyle J. Peterson:** A key staff scientist and later manager for ICF Target Design at Sandia, Dr. Peterson was a central member of the experimental team.⁴ He frequently served as lead author or key contributor on papers detailing the experimental investigation of electrothermal and hydrodynamic instabilities, as well as crucial experiments using beryllium liners, which are of direct relevance to weapons physics.⁴ His work was instrumental in understanding and mitigating the instabilities that threaten to disrupt liner implosions.³⁰
- **Dr. Ryan D. McBride:** A staff physicist and manager at Sandia from 2008 to 2016, Dr. McBride's research focused on the experimental study of liner dynamics and instabilities.³¹ His experiments, which often involved using advanced radiography to image the imploding liners in-flight, provided the critical ground-truth data essential for validating the simulation codes used by Nakhleh's team.⁶ He was a lead researcher on the initial "dry-run" experiments that demonstrated beryllium liners could maintain their integrity during implosion, a key prerequisite for the integrated MagLIF concept.⁶
- **Dr. Matthew R. Gomez:** An experimental HEDP physicist who joined Sandia after receiving his Ph.D. in 2011, Dr. Gomez quickly became a leading figure in the program.⁷ He was the lead author on the seminal 2014

Physical Review Letters paper that reported the first experimental demonstration of significant thermonuclear neutron production and fusion-relevant conditions in an integrated MagLIF experiment.¹¹ This landmark achievement validated the core physics of the MagLIF concept.⁵

- **Dr. Adam B. Sefkow:** A key theorist and simulation expert, Dr. Sefkow was heavily involved in the integrated design of MagLIF experiments.³⁵ He was a lead author on a 2014

Physics of Plasmas paper that presented the detailed, self-consistent magnetohydrodynamic simulations used to design the first neutron-producing experiments.⁵ His work was critical in translating the theoretical concepts of Slutz and others into actionable experimental parameters. Dr. Sefkow transitioned to the University of Rochester in 2016.⁸

- **Dr. Roger A. Vesey:** A senior scientist and theorist at Sandia, Dr. Vesey's work focused on target design and pathways to high-gain fusion.³⁷ He was the co-author with Dr. Slutz on a critical 2012

Physical Review Letters paper, "High-Gain Magnetized Inertial Fusion," which presented simulations showing that a modified MagLIF concept could potentially achieve energy gains sufficient for fusion energy applications.³⁸

- **Other Key Sandia Personnel:** The collaborative network at Sandia was extensive. Publications from the period consistently feature contributions from other key experts, including **Dr. Michael C. Herrmann** (a senior leader in HEDP and ICF physics), **Dr. Edmund P. Yu** (a theorist specializing in modeling instabilities), and **Dr. Brent Jones** (an expert in nuclear diagnostics for measuring fusion neutron output).⁴

2.3. The LANL X-Division Contribution and External Collaborators

The MagLIF program, while centered at Sandia, was a national effort that leveraged the unique capabilities of the entire NNSA complex and its industrial partners.

- **LANL Personnel:** Beyond the leadership roles of Batha and Nakhleh, other LANL scientists were directly involved in the research. A 2016 paper on exploring the MagLIF parameter space explicitly lists C.W. Nakhleh with a LANL affiliation, indicating his continued intellectual involvement and collaboration with his former Sandia colleagues even after his return to Los Alamos.¹⁶ The fundamental research at LANL in areas such as magnetic reconnection and the dynamics of magnetic flux ropes, while not directly part of the MagLIF program, provided a deep reservoir of institutional expertise in plasma physics phenomena that are highly relevant to the challenges of MIF [Image Files].
- **Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) Personnel:** The Nakhleh Dossier identifies LLNL collaborators, such as **Joseph Koning** and **Michael Marinak**, on papers

focused on simulation and code development.⁴ This points to a tri-lab effort to benchmark and improve the predictive capabilities of their respective hydrodynamics codes (e.g., LASNEX, HYDRA) against the experimental data generated on the Z-Machine.

- **General Atomics (GA):** The frequent appearance of GA personnel, including **Diana G. Schroen, Korbie Killebrew, and B.E. Blue**, on experimental papers is a critical finding.⁴ This confirms General Atomics' role as the primary industrial partner responsible for the complex target fabrication and specialized diagnostic components required for MagLIF experiments. The precise machining of beryllium liners with microscopic perturbations, for example, is a highly specialized manufacturing capability that resides in the industrial sector.⁴ GA's world-leading expertise in high-energy-density capacitors and pulsed power systems further solidifies their role as a key enabler for the broader HEDP enterprise.⁴

The structure of this collaborative network is not that of a loose academic affiliation but of a tightly managed, mission-focused enterprise. The evidence points to a "hub-and-spoke" model. Sandia's Pulsed Power Directorate, with its unique Z-Machine facility and deep bench of experimentalists, served as the undisputed hub of the MagLIF program. LANL, LLNL, and General Atomics acted as critical spokes, feeding specialized expertise in theoretical physics, simulation code development, and advanced manufacturing into the central effort. This structure is highly efficient for a program driven by national security requirements, as it concentrates the primary experimental work at the institution with the unique facility while leveraging the distributed, specialized strengths of the entire NNSA complex and its key industrial partners.

2.4. Table 1: Key MagLIF Program Personnel and Collaborators (2010-2016)

Collaborator Name	Primary Institution	Known Role / Expertise	Significance of Collaboration
Dr. Daniel B. Sinars	Sandia National Laboratories	Director, Pulsed Power Sciences Center; Expert in HEDP diagnostics	As the lead experimentalist and facility director, Sinars' collaboration with the design team represents the

			critical link between theoretical target design and experimental execution and measurement. ⁴
Dr. Stephen A. Slutz	Sandia National Laboratories	Distinguished Member of Technical Staff; Physicist	As the inventor of the MagLIF concept, his collaboration signifies the direct translation of his foundational theory into tangible experimental designs. ⁴
Dr. Charles W. Nakhleh	Sandia (until 2013) / LANL	Leader, ICF Target Design (Sandia); Division Leader, XTD (LANL)	As the head of theoretical target design at Sandia, he was the chief architect of the MagLIF experiments. His transition between labs is a key strategic element. ⁴
Dr. Kyle J. Peterson	Sandia National Laboratories	Manager / Staff Scientist, ICF Target Design	A key experimentalist and frequent lead author on MagLIF papers, working on instability growth and liner performance experiments. ⁴
Dr. Ryan D. McBride	Sandia National Laboratories	Staff Scientist / Manager	Lead experimentalist for liner stability

			studies (e.g., MRT), providing critical data for code validation. Left Sandia in 2016. ⁶
Dr. Matthew R. Gomez	Sandia National Laboratories	Staff Scientist	Lead experimentalist on the first successful neutron-producing MagLIF experiments, validating the core concept. ⁷
Dr. Adam B. Sefkow	Sandia National Laboratories	Staff Scientist	Lead theorist for integrated target design simulations, translating physics concepts into experimental parameters. Left Sandia in 2016. ¹⁵
Dr. Michael C. Herrmann	Sandia National Laboratories	Senior Manager / Director	A senior leader in HEDP and ICF physics, his presence on publications indicates high-level programmatic involvement and oversight. ⁴
Dr. Edmund P. Yu	Sandia National Laboratories	Staff Scientist	A key theorist and simulation expert collaborating on modeling electrothermal and hydrodynamic instabilities. ⁴

Dr. Stephen Batha	Los Alamos National Laboratory	Senior Scientist, Plasma Physics	A key LANL liaison and diagnostics expert, ensuring experimental goals aligned with broader NNSA/SSP requirements. ¹⁷
Diana G. Schroen	General Atomics	Scientist	Represents the critical industrial partnership, likely in the area of advanced target fabrication essential for conducting the experiments. ⁴

Section 3: Formal and Informal Collaborative Structures

The collaboration between LANL, Sandia, and their partners was governed by a mix of formal, NNSA-chartered working groups and informal channels of communication that are characteristic of a highly specialized scientific community. The analysis of these structures reveals a sophisticated management approach that balanced the need for open scientific exchange with programmatic and security-driven compartmentalization.

3.1. CIQ-1: The NNSA Advanced Diagnostics for Extreme Conditions (ADEC) Working Group

A systematic search of the provided materials yields a **negative finding** for a group explicitly named the "Advanced Diagnostics for Extreme Conditions (ADEC) Working Group." However, this does not indicate the absence of such a collaborative body. Multiple sources instead point to the **National Diagnostic Working Group (NDWG)** as the primary formal entity for

this purpose.¹

The charter of the NDWG, as described in official documentation, is to act as a group of "technical experts responsible for identifying and prioritizing the development of transformational diagnostics to support the NNSA's High Energy Density (HED) experimental facilities: the National Ignition Facility, Z-machine, and Omega/OmegaEP".¹ This mission aligns perfectly with the function implied by the "ADEC" name. The NDWG's purpose is to coordinate knowledge and instrument development across the entire NNSA complex to ensure the major HED facilities are fully exploited with the best available diagnostics.²

The membership of the NDWG is a national effort, comprising senior scientists and experts from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories, the University of Rochester's Laboratory for Laser Energetics, General Atomics, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and the Naval Research Laboratory.² Key personnel identified in this investigation, such as Dr. Stephen Batha of LANL, are noted as being actively involved in the NDWG.¹⁹ The group holds annual meetings, hosted by the member institutions, to provide updates to the broader diagnostic community and identify future needs.¹

Based on this evidence, it is assessed with high confidence that the NDWG is the primary formal collaborative structure for HEDP diagnostics within the NNSA complex. It is highly probable that "ADEC" is either an internal, informal descriptor for a subgroup, a predecessor name, or simply a misnomer for the NDWG.

3.2. CIQ-3: A Tale of Two MIF Programs - MagLIF and FRC/MTF

Analysis of the research landscape during the 2010-2016 period reveals no formal joint working groups, dedicated program reviews, or co-authored publications that directly link the LANL FRC/MTF programs (FRX-L, MSX, FRCHX) with the Sandia MagLIF program.⁴ The two efforts proceeded along parallel, institutionally separate tracks. This separation was not an oversight but a deliberate

DOE/NNSA portfolio strategy designed to explore two distinct, high-risk pathways to Magneto-Inertial Fusion, thereby hedging against technical uncertainty and mitigating programmatic risk.⁴

- **MagLIF (Sandia):** This program represented a "driver-centric" approach. It leveraged the world's most powerful and well-characterized pulsed-power driver, the Z-Machine, and paired it with a conceptually simpler, lower-risk plasma target: a laser-preheated, axially magnetized deuterium gas column.⁴ The primary scientific risk was concentrated

- in managing the violent liner instabilities (MRT) inherent to such a powerful Z-pinch.
- **FRC/MTF (LANL/AFRL):** This program, culminating in the FRCHX experiment, represented a "target-centric" approach. It leveraged LANL's world-leading, multi-decade expertise in Field-Reversed Configurations (FRCs), a complex but potentially superior high-beta plasma target with self-contained magnetic fields.⁴ The primary scientific risk was concentrated in forming and sustaining this complex plasma target for a duration sufficient for compression by a liner.⁴

Despite this formal programmatic separation, significant informal knowledge sharing and cross-pollination of ideas occurred. The communities were small and highly specialized. Physicists and computational scientists from both labs attended the same key conferences, such as the **IEEE International Pulsed Power Conference** and the **American Physical Society Division of Plasma Physics (APS-DPP) Annual Meeting**.⁴ These venues provided a forum for the informal exchange of results and techniques. Furthermore, the teams used similar, and in some cases identical, simulation codes (e.g., LASNEX, HYDRA, MACH2), reviewed each other's publications, and drew from the same foundational body of literature on Z-pinch and liner implosion physics, creating a shared pool of expertise.⁴

The deliberate separation of these two major MIF programs reveals a sophisticated management and security strategy. Programmatically, it allowed the NNSA to mitigate risk by pursuing parallel technical paths without committing all resources to a single, unproven concept. From a security standpoint, it effectively firewalled the two research tracks. This compartmentalization is a recurring theme, observed even within LANL between its experimental FRC group and its theoretical magnetic reconnection group.⁴ The lack of formal links is not an accident but a feature of a multi-layered security and management posture designed to protect the full scope and strategic direction of U.S. HEDP research from any single point of compromise.

3.3. Table 2: Comparative Analysis of U.S. MIF Programs (c. 2010-2016)

Technical Feature	MagLIF (Sandia)	FRCHX (LANL/AFRL)
Primary Institution(s)	Sandia National Laboratories	Los Alamos National Laboratory, Air Force Research Laboratory
Pulsed-Power Driver	Z-Machine (~22 MJ)	Shiva Star (~5 MJ)

Liner Material	Beryllium	Aluminum
Plasma Target	Deuterium gas	Field-Reversed Configuration (FRC)
Target Formation Method	Laser pre-heating, axial magnetic field coils	Reversed-field theta pinch
Key Instability Challenge	Liner Magneto-Rayleigh-Taylor (MRT) instability	FRC rotational/tilt modes; Liner MRT instability
Assessed Programmatic Goal	SSP Code Validation; Foundational HEDP Science	Technology Maturation; Integrated System Demonstration

Section 4: Personnel Cross-Pollination and Network Dynamics

The movement of key personnel between the national laboratories is a primary vector for knowledge transfer and a key indicator of strategic priorities. During the 2010-2016 timeframe, one such transition stands out as being of paramount importance to the HEDP/MIF enterprise.

4.1. CIQ-4: The Strategic Transfer of Dr. Charles W. Nakhleh

The career trajectory of Dr. Charles W. Nakhleh is the primary and most significant instance of high-level personnel transition between the core HEDP groups at LANL and Sandia.⁴ A detailed analysis of his professional history reveals a path deliberately curated to build a leader with a unique, integrated skillset essential for the modern Stockpile Stewardship Program.

- **LANL (1996 - c. 2007):** Dr. Nakhleh began his career as a Technical Staff Member in LANL's Applied Physics (X) Division. His early work immersed him in the core missions of

the post-testing era, serving as a "weapon system point-of-contact" and working extensively on "uncertainty quantification" for simulation codes. His selection for and graduation from the Theoretical Institute of Thermonuclear and Nuclear Studies (TITANS) program marked him as a rising leader within the theoretical weapons design community.⁴

- **Sandia (c. 2007 - 2013):** In a move that proved formative, Dr. Nakhleh transitioned to Sandia to become the Leader of the ICF Target Design Department within the Pulsed Power Sciences Center. This position placed him in direct charge of the theoretical design and analysis for all experiments on the Z-Machine, including the flagship MagLIF program. This was not a departure from the weapons program but a deep dive into its experimental heart, providing him with direct experience in bridging the gap between theoretical models and complex experimental reality.⁴
- **LANL (2013 - 2018):** In 2013, Dr. Nakhleh returned to Los Alamos to assume the role of Division Leader for the X-Theoretical Design (XTD) Division. XTD is the historical and current center of theoretical nuclear weapons design at LANL, responsible for the physics design, assessment, and certification of the U.S. nuclear arsenal.⁴

This career path is not a sequence of routine promotions but a calculated cross-pollination of expertise. The NNSA invested in sending a top theorist from the nation's primary nuclear design laboratory (LANL) to gain hands-on experience leading the design of validation experiments at the premier pulsed-power and engineering laboratory (Sandia). His subsequent return to lead XTD equipped him with an unparalleled, integrated understanding of both the secret design codes and the unclassified experiments that validate their physics models. This made him uniquely qualified to lead the core scientific elements of the SSP, which relies entirely on this synergy between simulation and experiment to certify the nuclear deterrent in the absence of underground testing.⁴

4.2. Analysis of Other Personnel Transitions

An analysis of the professional histories of other key personnel central to the MagLIF and FRC/MTF programs—including Dr. Daniel Sinars, Dr. Stephen Slutz, Dr. Kyle Peterson, Dr. Ryan McBride, and Dr. Stephen Batha—does not reveal similar high-level transitions between LANL's X-Division and Sandia's Pulsed Power directorate during the 2010-2016 timeframe.¹² The majority of these senior personnel remained at their home institutions for the duration of the period, solidifying their roles as institutional subject matter experts. For instance, Dr. Sinars and Dr. Slutz have spent their entire careers at Sandia, becoming synonymous with the Z-Machine and MagLIF, respectively.¹² While some junior staff or post-doctoral researchers may have moved between the labs, the lack of other major swaps at the senior scientist or management level underscores the unique and strategic nature of Nakhleh's transfer. It was a

targeted move to develop a specific leader, not a general policy of personnel rotation. This reinforces the assessment that the laboratories maintained distinct core teams while collaborating on specific projects.

The NNSA's management of its scientific workforce reflects a clear understanding of human capital as a strategic asset. The primary challenge of the Stockpile Stewardship Program is to maintain confidence in the nuclear deterrent without full-scale testing, which requires absolute trust in the fidelity of advanced simulation codes.⁴ The greatest risk in this paradigm is a divergence between the computational models and physical reality. The most effective way to bridge this potential gap between the "coders" at the design labs and the "experimentalists" at the pulsed-power facilities is to create leaders who are deeply fluent in both worlds. Dr. Nakhleh's career path embodies the NNSA's solution to this central challenge. He represents a human bridge between the theoretical world of LANL's X-Division and the experimental world of Sandia's Z-Machine. His transfer was a long-term, strategic investment in creating the specific type of leader required to manage the science-based SSP, demonstrating that the NNSA views its key personnel as strategic assets to be cultivated and deployed with purpose and precision.

Section 5: Assessment and Implications for the U.S. Plasma Physics Community

5.1. Synthesis of Findings

The synthesis of the network maps and programmatic analyses provides a holistic intelligence picture of the LANL-Sandia HEDP/MIF community from 2010-2016. This community was a highly structured, mission-driven enterprise whose activities were central to U.S. national security.

- **Structure:** The community operated on a hub-and-spoke model. Sandia National Laboratories, with its unique Z Pulsed-Power Facility, served as the central experimental platform and the primary nexus of collaboration for the MagLIF program. LANL, LLNL, and industrial partners like General Atomics functioned as essential spokes, providing critical support in theory, simulation, diagnostics, and advanced manufacturing.
- **Purpose:** The primary, explicit purpose of this collaborative network was to provide the fundamental experimental data and validated physics models required for the NNSA's Stockpile Stewardship Program. Research into the Magneto-Rayleigh-Taylor instability in

magnetically imploded beryllium liners on the Z-Machine was a direct scientific surrogate for studying a critical failure mode in the primary stage of a modern thermonuclear weapon.⁴ This work was not peripheral to the weapons program; it was a cornerstone of the science-based approach to ensuring the reliability of the nuclear deterrent.⁴

- **Operational Posture:** The community was characterized by a sophisticated management strategy that combined open collaboration on specific scientific problems (evidenced by multi-institutional, peer-reviewed publications) with programmatic compartmentalization (the formal separation of the Sandia-led MagLIF program and the LANL-led FRC/MTF program). This dual approach allowed for both efficient knowledge sharing on fundamental physics and strategic risk mitigation across the national MIF portfolio.

5.2. Answering the "Black World" Question: Bifurcation of Mission and Destiny

The user's objective to reveal the core of the U.S. "black world" plasma physics community is answered not by identifying a single, monolithic entity, but by understanding its bifurcation during this period into two distinct, albeit related, networks, each with a different classified mission focus.

- **The SSP "Black Mission" Network (MagLIF):** This network, centered at Sandia, was the unclassified face of a deeply classified mission. Its work, published openly in premier physics journals like *Physical Review Letters* and *Physics of Plasmas*, provided the scientific foundation and code validation data essential for the highly classified annual assessment and certification of the U.S. nuclear stockpile.⁴ The research on implosion physics was a direct, unclassified investigation into the physics of a nuclear weapon primary.⁴ This network represents the "black world" hiding in plain sight, where unclassified fundamental science is the indispensable bedrock for a critical national security mission.
- **The Clandestine Program Precursor Network (FRC/MTF):** This network, centered at LANL and its collaboration with the Air Force Research Laboratory, pursued a parallel technology track.⁴ While its research was also largely unclassified, it was not as directly and explicitly tied to the immediate, annual needs of the Stockpile Stewardship Program. Instead, this program's scientific and human capital lineage was ultimately vectorized into the clandestine Lockheed Martin Skunk Works® Compact Fusion Reactor (CFR) program.⁴ The methodical, step-wise maturation of FRC technology—from the FRX-L plasma injector to the integrated FRCHX liner compression experiment—served to de-risk the core plasma physics for a follow-on applied program.⁴ This network represents a different branch of the "black world"—one focused on incubating and maturing novel,

potentially revolutionary power and propulsion technologies for future defense applications.

In conclusion, this investigation has successfully mapped two distinct but related segments of the U.S. advanced plasma physics community during the 2010-2016 timeframe. The first was directly in service of maintaining and certifying the existing nuclear deterrent through the SSP. The second served as the primary incubator for future, potentially paradigm-shifting defense technologies. The personnel overlap between these two segments appears to have been minimal at the working level, with Dr. Charles Nakhleh's career being a notable exception at the leadership level. This separation reinforces the overarching finding of a deliberately compartmentalized, portfolio-based approach to managing the nation's most advanced and sensitive plasma physics research.

Works cited

1. National Diagnostics Working Group 2022 – Pulsed-Power Science ..., accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.sandia.gov/pulsed-power/national-diagnostics-working-group-2022/>
2. National Diagnostic Working Group (NDWG) for inertial confinement fusion (ICF)/high-energy density (HED) science: The whole exceeds the sum of its parts | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed September 2, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373320086_National_Diagnostic_Working_Group_NDWG_for_inertial_confinement_fusion_ICFhigh-energy_density_HED_science_The_whole_exceeds_the_sum_of_its_parts
3. National Diagnostic Working Group (NDWG) for inertial confinement fusion (ICF)/high-energy density (HED) science: The whole exceeds the sum of its parts - PubMed, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38065160/>
4. Nakhleh Dossier_ Fusion & Weaponization Links.pdf
5. Sandia magnetized fusion technique produces significant results, accessed September 2, 2025, https://newsreleases.sandia.gov/mag_fusion/
6. Dry-run experiments verify key aspect of Sandia nuclear fusion concept, accessed September 2, 2025, https://newsreleases.sandia.gov/nuclear_fusion/
7. Experiments at Z Machine earn Gomez research honors - Sandia National Laboratories, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.sandia.gov/labnews/2019/05/09/gomez-award/>
8. Adam Sefkow recognized for research in fusion, high-energy density physics, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.rochester.edu/newscenter/adam-sefkow-recognized-research-fusion-high-energy-density-physics-263772/>
9. Tom Mehlhorn - Plasma, Pulsed Power, and Microwave Laboratory, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://plasmabay.engin.umich.edu/tom-mehlhorn/>
10. Tom Mehlhorn - Affiliate Professor, Aeronautics & Astronautics, University Of Michigan at University of Washington | The Org, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://theorg.com/org/university-of-washington/org-chart/tom-mehlhorn>

11. Experimental Demonstration of Fusion-Relevant Conditions in Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion | Phys. Rev. Lett. - Physical Review Link Manager, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.155003>
12. Daniel Sinars - Mitchell Institute for Aerospace Studies, accessed September 2, 2025, https://www.mitchellaerospacepower.org/app/uploads/2021/12/SinarsDanielB_Bio_12062021.pdf
13. Daniel Sinars: Then and Now / 2011 Early Career Award Winner | Department of Energy, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.energy.gov/science/articles/daniel-sinars-then-and-now-2011-early-career-award-winner>
14. Charlie Nakhleh | Los Alamos National Laboratory, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.lanl.gov/about/leadership-governance/charlie-nakhleh>
15. (PDF) Design of magnetized liner inertial fusion experiments using ..., accessed September 2, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268686559_Design_of_magnetized_line_r_inertial_fusion_experiments_using_the_Z_facility
16. Exploring magnetized liner inertial fusion with a semi-analytic model - OSTI.GOV, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/1240018>
17. s. H. Batha Los Alamos National Laboratory - ResearchGate, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/S-Batha>
18. P hysics Division R esearch R eview, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://higherlogicdownload.s3.amazonaws.com/APS/b702bb59-ce08-4ebc-b70c-ab50811fa080/UploadedImages/documents/batha.pdf>
19. Steven H Batha - Los Alamos National Laboratory - Output, accessed September 2, 2025, https://laro.lanl.gov/esploro/profile/steven_batha/output/all?institution=01LANL_INST
20. Introduction to the special topic on inertial confinement fusion diagnostics - Los Alamos National Laboratory, accessed September 2, 2025, https://laro.lanl.gov/view/pdfCoverPage?instCode=01LANL_INST&filePid=13171552170003761&download=true
21. Enabling High-Energy-Density Physics Using X-Ray Free Electron Lasers - OSTI.GOV, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1874159>
22. Overview of the LANL Multi-Probe Radiography Project [Slides] - OSTI.GOV, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1875768>
23. FPA 2021 Distinguished Career Awards - QED Archives, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://qedfusion.org/FPA/ARC21/fpn21-42.shtml>
24. Scaling of magnetized inertial fusion with drive current rise-time (Journal Article) | OSTI.GOV, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.osti.gov/pages/biblio/1467390>
25. Publications Search - Research - Sandia National Laboratories, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.sandia.gov/research/publications/search/?per-page=25&authors%5B0%5D=stephen-slutz&format=citation&sort=title>

26. Kyle PETERSON | Manager, Staff Scientist | Ph.D. Physics | Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque | Radiation & Inertial Confinement Fusion Target Design | Research profile - ResearchGate, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Kyle-Peterson-8>
27. Scaling magnetized liner inertial fusion on Z and future pulsed-power accelerators (Journal Article) | OSTI.GOV, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.osti.gov/pages/biblio/1236903>
28. Scaling magnetized liner inertial fusion on Z and future pulsed-power accelerators, accessed September 2, 2025, https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:47064021
29. Publications Search – Research - Sandia National Laboratories, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.sandia.gov/research/publications/search/?per-page=25&format=standard&authors%5B0%5D=kyle-peterson&sort=date>
30. Publications Search – Research - Sandia National Laboratories, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.sandia.gov/research/publications/search/?format=standard&per-page=100&authors%5B0%5D=kyle-peterson>
31. Ryan McBride - Nuclear Engineering & Radiological Sciences - University of Michigan, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://ners.engin.umich.edu/people/mcbride-ryan/>
32. Ryan McBride - Plasma, Pulsed Power, and Microwave Laboratory - University of Michigan, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://plasmabay.engin.umich.edu/people/ryan-mcbride/>
33. Matthew Gomez | DOE NNSA SSGF - Krell Institute, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.krellinst.org/ssgf/profile/gomez2007>
34. Sandia experiment improves nuclear security - Kirtland Air Force Base, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.kirtland.af.mil/News/Article-Display/Article/817606/sandia-experiment-improves-nuclear-security/>
35. C O M P U T I N G - Sandia National Laboratories, accessed September 2, 2025, https://www.sandia.gov/app/uploads/sites/147/2022/01/HPC_2013.pdf
36. Adam Sefkow Doctor of Philosophy Professor (Assistant) at University of Rochester - ResearchGate, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adam-Sefkow-2>
37. Publications Search – Research - Sandia National Laboratories, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.sandia.gov/research/publications/search/?format=standard&per-page=25&authors%5B0%5D=roger-vesey&pg=5>
38. High-Gain Magnetized Inertial Fusion | Phys. Rev. Lett. - Physical Review Link Manager, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.025003>
39. Publications Search – Research - Sandia National Laboratories, accessed September 2, 2025, <https://www.sandia.gov/research/publications/search/?per-page=50&format=cita>

- [tion&authors%5B0%5D=brent-jones](#)
40. Publications Search – Research – Sandia National Laboratories, accessed September 2, 2025,
<https://www.sandia.gov/research/publications/search/?per-page=25&format=standard&authors%5B0%5D=brent-jones&page=2>
 41. National Diagnostics Working Group 2025 – Pulsed-Power Science and Technology, accessed September 2, 2025,
<https://www.sandia.gov/pulsed-power/national-diagnostics-working-group-2025/>
 42. Diagnostics Explored the 'Unknowns' in NIF Ignition Experiments | National Ignition Facility & Photon Science, accessed September 2, 2025,
<https://lasers.llnl.gov/news/diagnostics-explored-unknowns-nif-ignition-experiments>
 43. National Diagnostic Working Group (NDWG) for inertial confinement fusion (ICF)/high-energy density (HED) science: The whole exceeds the sum of its parts – AIP Publishing, accessed September 2, 2025,
<https://pubs.aip.org/aip/rsi/article/94/8/081101/2907540/National-Diagnostic-Working-Group-NDWG-for>
 44. Introduction to the special topic on inertial confinement fusion diagnostics – AIP Publishing, accessed September 2, 2025,
<https://pubs.aip.org/aip/rsi/article/95/1/010401/2932349/Introduction-to-the-special-topic-on-inertial>
 45. The crucial role of diagnostics in achieving ignition on the National Ignition Facility (NIF), accessed September 2, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383117722_The_crucial_role_of_diagnostics_in_achieving_ignition_on_the_National_Ignition_Facility_NIF
 46. Scaling magnetized liner inertial fusion on Z and future pulsed-power accelerators | Physics of Plasmas | AIP Publishing, accessed September 2, 2025,
<https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pop/article/23/2/022702/318337/Scaling-magnetized-liner-inertial-fusion-on-Z-and>
 47. Pulsed Power Science and Technology (PPST) – Nuclear & Plasma Sciences Society, accessed September 2, 2025,
<https://ieee-npss.org/technical-committees/pulsed-power-science-and-technology/>
 48. IEEE Pulsed Power Conference : [proceedings]. – U.S. Department of Commerce, accessed September 2, 2025,
https://search.library.doc.gov/discovery/fulldisplay/alma991000424092704716/01USDOC_INST:01USDOC
 49. 55th Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics – Event – Design of MagLIF experiments using the Z facility, accessed September 2, 2025,
<https://meetings.aps.org/Meeting/DPP13/Session/CI2.1>
 50. Controlling morphology and improving reproducibility of magnetized liner inertial fusion experiments – AIP Publishing, accessed September 2, 2025,
https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pop/article-pdf/doi/10.1063/5.0169981/19729292/022703_1_5.0169981.pdf
 51. A Community Plan for Fusion Energy and Discovery Plasma Sciences – FIRE,

accessed September 2, 2025,

https://firefusionpower.org/CPP%20Strategic%20Plan%20Final_2020_03_11.pdf

52. 58th Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics - Event - Intense laser-driven proton beam energy deposition in compressed and uncompressed Cu foam, accessed September 2, 2025,

<https://meetings.aps.org/Meeting/DPP16/Session/GP10.170>

53. Stephen Slutz | Fannie and John Hertz Foundation, accessed September 2, 2025,

<https://www.hertzfoundation.org/person/stephen-slutz/>